Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–?? (0000) Printed 12 March 2013 (MN LaTeX style file v2.2)

arXiv:1303.2286v1 [astro-ph.CO] 10 Mar 2013

Analytical studies on the Sunyaev Zeldovich effect in the cluster of galaxies for three Lorentz frames

Satoshi Nozawa* and Yasuharu Kohyama†
Josai Junior College, 1-1 Keyakidai, Sakado-shi, Saitama, 350-0295, Japan

submitted:

ABSTRACT

We study the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect for clusters of galaxies. The rate equations for the CMB photon distribution function are studied in three Lorentz frames. We clarify the relations of the SZ effects among the different Lorentz frames. We also derive analytic expressions for the photon redistribution functions. These formulas will be extremely useful for the analysis of the forthcoming observations.

Key words: cosmology: cosmic microwave background — cosmology: theory — galaxies: clusters: general — radiation mechanisms: thermal — relativity.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect (Zeldovich and Sunyaev 1969; Sunyaev and Zeldovich 1980), which arises from the Compton scattering of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons by hot electrons in clusters of galaxies (CG), provides a useful method for studies of cosmology. For the reviews, for example, see Birkinshaw (1999) and Carlstrom et al. (2002). The original SZ formula has been derived from the Kompaneets equation (Kompaneets 1956) in the non-relativistic approximation. However, X-ray observations, for example, Allen et al. (2002) have revealed the existence of high-temperature CG such as $k_B T_e \simeq$20keV. For such high-temperature CG, the relativistic corrections will become important.

On the other hand, it has been known theoretically for some time that the relativistic corrections become significant at the short wave length region $\lambda <$ 1mm. In particular, the recent report on the first detection of the SZ effect at $\lambda <$ 650μm by the Hershcel survey (Zemcov et al. 2010) seems to confirm the relativistic corrections. Furthermore, new generation observations, for example, by Planck Collaboration (2011) are carrying out systematic studies of the precision measurements on the SZ effect. Therefore, reliable theoretical studies on the relativistic SZ effect at short wave length will become extremely important for both existing and forthcoming observation projects.

The theoretical studies on the relativistic corrections have been done by several groups. Wright (1979) and Rephaeli (Rephaeli 1995) have done the pioneering work to the SZ effect for the CG. Challinor and Lasenby (1998) and Itoh, Kohyama and Nozawa (1998) have adopted a relativistically covariant formalism to describe the Compton scattering process and have obtained higher-order relativistic corrections to the thermal SZ effect in the form of the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation. Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998) have extended their method to the case where the CG is moving with a peculiar velocity $\vec{\beta}_c$ with respect to the CMB and have obtained the relativistic corrections to the kinematical SZ effect. Their results were confirmed by Challinor and Lasenby (1999) and also by Sazonov and Sunyaev (1998). Itoh, Nozawa and Kohyama (2000) have also applied the covariant formalism to the polarization SZ effect (Sunyaev and Zeldovich 1981). The importance of the relativistic corrections is also exemplified through the possibility of directly measuring the cluster temperature using purely the SZ effect (Hansen 2004). On the other hand, Chluba et al. (2012) also studied the relativistic corrections on the SZ effect based on an alternative derivation of the Boltzmann equation. They reported the clean separation of the kinetic and scattering terms which usually mixed in the CMB frame due to the retardation effect $(1 - \beta_c \mu_c)$.

In the present paper, we give formal relations for the rate equations among different Lorentz frames, namely, for the CMB frame, CG frame and a general observer's (OBS) frame. Starting from the Lorentz invariant Boltzmann equation for the photon-electron scattering in the CMB frame, we derive the relation formula between the CMB and CG frames. First, we derive the expression for the rate equation of the photon distribution function in the CG frame. Then, we transform the rate equation to the CMB frame. We compare the obtained expression with the previous work of Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998). We will show that two calculations agree completely, if we multiply the Lorentz factor $1/\gamma_c$ to the result of Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama

---

* E-mail: snozawa@josai.ac.jp
† c/o S. Nozawa, tentative address

© 0000 RAS

(1998). The reason for the factor is because N_e^c was used in Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998) instead of N_e for the electron number density in the CMB frame, where N_e and N_e^c are the electron number densities in the CMB and CG frames, respectively. The factor is consistent with the comment indicated by Chluba et al. (2012). This clarifies the relation of the rate equations between the two Lorentz frames. We will also extend the rate equation in the CG frame to the OBS frame. Finally, we derive analytic expressions for the redistribution functions $P_{\ell,c}(s_c,\beta)$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. With these expressions, 5-dimensional integrals for solving the rate equations are reduced to 2-dimensional integrals, which will save the numerical computation time drastically. These analytic expressions will be extremely useful for the analysis of the forthcoming observations.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive the formal relations for the rate equations of the photon distribution functions among three Lorentz frames. In Section 3, we derive the rate equation in the CG frame. We also express the rate equation in the operator representation and in the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation. In Section 4, we transform the rate equation in the CG frame to the CMB frame. We will compare the present result with the previous works. In Section 5, we will transform the rate equation in the CG frame to the OBS frame. In Section 6, we derive analytic expressions for the redistribution functions. Concluding remarks will be given in Section 7. Finally, we show the relations for the electron number densities among the three Lorentz frames in Appendix A. In Appendix B, we give the normalization of the photon redistribution function.

2 GENERAL FORMALISM

In the present section, we discuss the formal relations of the rate equations for the CMB photons among three different Lorentz frames, namely, the CMB frame, the CG frame and the OBS frame.

Let us start with the following Lorentz invariant expression of the Boltzmann equation for the electron-photon scattering in the CMB frame:

$$\omega\frac{\partial n(\omega)}{\partial t} + \vec{k}\cdot\nabla n(\omega) = -\frac{2}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{d^3p}{E}\frac{d^3p'}{E'}\frac{d^3k'}{2\omega'}\,\delta^4(p+k-p'-k')\,\alpha^2\,\bar{X} \left[n(\omega)\left\{1+n(\omega')\right\}f(E) - n(\omega')\left\{1+n(\omega)\right\}f(E')\right] , \quad (1)$$

where α is the fine structure constant, $k = (\omega, \vec{k})$ and $k' = (\omega', \vec{k}')$ are the initial and final CMB photon momenta, respectively, and $p = (E, \vec{p})$ and $p' = (E', \vec{p}')$ are the momenta for electrons. In Eq. (1), $n(\omega)$ and $f(E)$ denote the distribution functions for the CMB photons and electrons in the CG, respectively, and $\bar{X}$ is the invariant transition probability of the Compton scattering, which will be discussed in Section 3. In the present paper, we use the natural unit $\hbar = c = 1$, unless otherwise stated explicitly.

Assuming that the initial CMB photon distribution is spatially homogeneous, the space derivative term in the left hand side of Eq. (1) can be dropped. In Itoh, Kohyama and Nozawa (1998) and Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998), the Boltzmann equation of Eq. (1) was calculated in the CMB frame under this assumption.

On the other hand, the left hand side of Eq. (1) can be rewritten with variables in the CG frame as follows:

$$\omega\frac{\partial n(\omega)}{\partial t} + \vec{k}\cdot\nabla n(\omega) = \omega_c\frac{\partial n_c(\omega_c)}{\partial t_c} + \vec{k}_c\cdot\nabla_c n_c(\omega_c) , \quad (2)$$

where $k_c = (\omega_c, \vec{k}_c)$ denotes the momenta of the initial CMB photons in the CG frame, and $n_c(\omega_c)$ is the CMB photon distribution function in the CG frame. Assuming that the initial CMB photon distributions are spatially homogeneous in both frames, one obtains

$$\omega\frac{dn(\omega)}{dt} = \omega_c\frac{dn_c(\omega_c)}{dt_c} . \quad (3)$$

Let us consider that the CG is moving with a velocity $\vec{\beta}_c$ with respect to the CMB frame. Then, the photon energies are related with each other by the Lorentz transformation:

$$\omega_c = \gamma_c\,(1-\beta_c\mu_c)\,\omega , \quad (4)$$

where $\gamma_c = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta_c^2}$, and

$$\mu_c = \hat{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{k} \quad (5)$$

is the cosine between the unit vectors $\hat{\beta}_c$ and $\hat{k}$ defined in the CMB frame. Inserting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3), one has

$$\frac{dn(x)}{dt} = \gamma_c\,(1-\beta_c\mu_c)\,\frac{dn_c(x_c)}{dt_c} , \quad (6)$$

where we introduced new variables $x_c \equiv \omega_c/k_BT_{\rm CMB}$ and $x \equiv \omega/k_BT_{\rm CMB}$. Equation (6) gives the relation for the rate equations between the CMB and CG frames. Note that Eq. (6) agrees with the formula described in Chluba et al. (2012).

On the other hand, one can reverse the relation of Eq. (6) by using the inverse Lorentz transformation:

$$\omega = \gamma_c\,(1+\beta_c\mu_c^c)\,\omega_c , \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mu_c^c = \hat{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{k}_c \quad (8)$$

is the cosine defined in the CG frame. Instead of Eq. (6), one obtains

$$\frac{dn_c(x_c)}{dt_c} = \gamma_c\,(1+\beta_c\mu_c^c)\,\frac{dn(x)}{dt} . \quad (9)$$

As far as the initial CMB photon distributions are spatially homogenous in both frames, Eq. (6) and Eq. (9) are equivalent.

We now rewrite Eq. (6) with new variables τ and τ_c as follows:

$$\frac{dn(x)}{d\tau} = (1-\beta_c\mu_c)\,\frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} , \quad (10)$$

$$\tau = N_e\sigma_T\,t , \quad (11)$$

$$\tau_c = N_e^c\sigma_T\,t_c , \quad (12)$$

where $\sigma_T = 8\pi\alpha^2/(3m^2)$ is the Thomson scattering cross section, and N_e and N_e^c are the electron number densities in the CMB and CG frames, respectively, which are related with each other by

$$N_e = \gamma_c N_e^c . \quad (13)$$

In Appendix A, we study relations of the electron number densities among different Lorentz frames, where we give the explicit derivation of Eq. (13).

Finally, we extend the relation of Eq. (2) to the OBS frame moving with a velocity $\vec{\beta}_o$ with respect to the CMB frame. Under the assumption of the spatial homogeneity for the initial CMB photon distributions, one has

$$\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{dt_o} = \gamma_o \left(1+\beta_o \mu_o^o\right) \frac{dn(x)}{dt} \, , \tag{14}$$

$$\mu_o^o = \hat{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o \, , \tag{15}$$

where $\gamma_o = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta_o^2}$, $x_o = \omega_o / k_B T_{\rm CMB}$, and $(\omega_o, \vec{k}_o)$ denotes the four momenta of the initial CMB photons in the OBS frame. Inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (14), one has

$$\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{dt_o} = \gamma_o \gamma_c \left(1+\beta_o \mu_o^o\right)\left(1-\beta_c \mu_c\right) \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{dt_c} \, . \tag{16}$$

In Eq. (16), $\hat{k}$ of $\mu_c = \hat{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{k}$ has to be transformed to the variables in the OBS frame. Challinor and Leeuwen (2002) discussed the transformation law for the photon propagation directions between two different Lorentz frames. One can reverse Eq. (2) of Challinor and Leeuwen (2002) and obtains as follows:

$$\hat{n} = \left(\frac{\hat{n}' \cdot \hat{v} - \beta}{1 - \hat{n}' \cdot \vec{v}} \right) \hat{v} + \frac{\hat{n}' - \hat{n}' \cdot \hat{v} \, \hat{v}}{\gamma \left(1 - \hat{n}' \cdot \vec{v}\right)} . \tag{17}$$

Inserting $\hat{n} = -\hat{k}$, $\hat{n}' = -\hat{k}_o$ and $\hat{v} = \hat{\beta}_o$ into Eq. (17), one obtains

$$\hat{k} = \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o + \beta_o}{1 + \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o} \right) \hat{\beta}_o + \frac{\hat{k}_o - \hat{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o \, \hat{\beta}_o}{\gamma_o \left(1 + \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o\right)} . \tag{18}$$

Thus, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\beta_o \mu_o^o\right)\left(1-\beta_c \mu_c\right) \\
& = \left(1 + \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o\right) \left[1 - \frac{\hat{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o + \beta_o}{1 + \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o} \vec{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{\beta}_o \right. \\
& \qquad \left. - \frac{\vec{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{k}_o - \hat{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o \vec{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{\beta}_o}{\gamma_o \left(1 + \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o\right)} \right] \\
& \approx \left(1 - \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \vec{\beta}_c\right) \left[1 - \left(\beta_c \mu_c^o - \beta_o \mu_o^o\right)\right] \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\mu_c^o = \hat{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{k}_o \, . \tag{20}$$

In deriving the last equality in Eq. (19), $\mathcal{O}(\beta_c \beta_o^2, \beta_o \beta_c^2)$ terms were neglected. One has

$$\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{dt_o} = \gamma_o \gamma_c \left(1 - \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \vec{\beta}_c\right) \left(1 - \beta_c \mu_c^o + \beta_o \mu_o^o\right) \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{dt_c} \, . \tag{21}$$

Finally, we rewrite Eq. (21) with a new variable τ_o as follows:

$$\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} = \left(1 - \beta_c \mu_c^o + \beta_o \mu_o^o\right) \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} \, , \tag{22}$$

$$\tau_o = N_e^o \sigma_T t_o \, , \tag{23}$$

where N_e^o is the electron number density in the OSB frame, which is related to N_e^c by

$$N_e^o = \gamma_o \gamma_c \left(1 - \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \vec{\beta}_c\right) N_e^c \, . \tag{24}$$

In Appendix A, we give the explicit derivation of Eq. (24).

Thus, Eqs. (10) and (22) summarize the formal relations for the rate equations among the CMB, CG and OBS frames. In Section 3, we study the rate equation in the CG frame. Then we transform the rate equation to the CMB frame with Eq. (10) in Section 4 and to the OBS frame with Eq. (22) in Section 5.

3 CALCULATIONS IN THE CG FRAME

3.1 Rate equation

Let us first define the kinematics in the CG frame. The four momenta of the initial and final electrons are $p_c = (E_c, \vec{p}_c)$ and $p_c' = (E_c', \vec{p}_c')$, respectively, and $\vec{\beta} = \vec{p}_c / E_c$ is the velocity of the initial electron. The four momenta of the initial and final photons are $k_c = (\omega_c, \vec{k}_c)$ and $k_c' = (\omega_c', \vec{k}_c')$, respectively. We introduce the direction cosines of the angle between the initial electron and photons as follows:

$$\mu = \hat{\beta} \cdot \hat{k}_c = \cos\theta_{k_c} \, , \tag{25}$$

$$\mu' = \hat{\beta} \cdot \hat{k}_c' = \cos\theta_{k_c'} \, . \tag{26}$$

According to Nozawa and Kohyama (2009), the rate equation in the CG frame can be written in the Thomson approximation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} = {} & \frac{3}{64\pi^2} \int dp_c \, p_c^2 \, p_{e,c}(E_c) \int d\Omega_{p_c} \int d\Omega_{k_c'} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \\
& \times \frac{1}{1-\beta\mu} \left(\frac{\omega_c'}{\omega_c}\right)^2 \bar{X}_A \left[n_c(x_c') - n_c(x_c) \right] \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\omega_c'}{\omega_c} = \frac{1-\beta\mu}{1-\beta\mu'} \, , \tag{28}$$

where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$, and the electron distribution function is normalized by $\int_0^\infty dp_c \, p_c^2 \, p_{e,c}(E_c) = 1$. In Eq. (27), $\bar{X}_A$ is given by

$$\bar{X}_A = 2 - \frac{2(1-\cos\Theta_c)}{\gamma^2(1-\beta\mu)(1-\beta\mu')} + \frac{(1-\cos\Theta_c)^2}{\gamma^4(1-\beta\mu)^2(1-\beta\mu')^2} \, , \tag{29}$$

where

$$\cos\Theta_c = \mu\mu' + \sqrt{1-\mu^2}\sqrt{1-\mu'^2} \cos(\phi_{k_c} - \phi_{k_c'}) \tag{30}$$

is the cosine between $\hat{k}_c$ and $\hat{k}_c'$. It should be noted that the Thomson approximation used in deriving Eqs. (27) and (28) is extremely good approximation for the CMB photon energies.

Now, we proceed the calculation by expanding the photon distribution functions $n_c(x_c)$ and $n_c(x_c')$ in power series of β_c. They are Lorentz invariant, namely,

$$n_c(x_c) = n(x) \, , \tag{31}$$

$$n_c(x_c') = n(x') \, , \tag{32}$$

where

$$x = \gamma_c (1 + \beta_c \mu_c^c) x_c \, , \tag{33}$$

$$x' = \gamma_c (1 + \beta_c \mu_c'^c) x_c' \, , \tag{34}$$

$$\mu_c^c = \hat{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{k}_c = \cos\theta_c^c \, , \tag{35}$$

$$\mu_c^{c\prime} = \hat{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{k}_c' = \cos\theta_c^{c\prime} \, . \tag{36}$$

The angles μ_c^c and μ_c are related with each other by the Lorentz transformation:

$$\mu_c^c = \frac{\mu_c - \beta_c}{1-\beta_c \mu_c}\,. \tag{37}$$

Inserting Eqs. (33) and (34) into Eqs. (31) and (32), respectively, and expanding up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta_c^2)$ terms under the assumption $\beta_c \ll 1$, one obtains as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} n_c(x_c) = n(x_c) &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}+2)\, n(x_c) \\ &+ \beta_c P_1(\mu_c^c)\, D_{x_c} n(x_c) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c^c)\, D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}-1)\, n(x_c)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_c(x'_c) = n(x'_c) &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 D_{x'_c}(D_{x'_c}+2)\, n(x'_c) \\ &+ \beta_c P_1(\mu_c^{c\prime})\, D_{x'_c} n(x'_c) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c^{c\prime})\, D_{x'_c}(D_{x'_c}-1)\, n(x'_c)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $P_\ell(\mu_c^c)$ and $P_\ell(\mu_c^{c\prime})$ are the Legendre polynomials of the ℓ-th order, and

$$D_z \,\equiv\, z\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{40}$$

is the Lorentz invariant operator.

Choosing the direction of $\hat{\beta}_c$ along z-axis, one can reexpress $P_\ell(\mu_c^{c\prime})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\ell(\mu_c^{c\prime}) &= \frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}\sum_m Y^*_{\ell,m}(\Theta_c,\Phi_c)\, Y_{\ell,m}(\theta_c^c,\phi_c^c) \\ &= P_\ell(\cos\Theta_c)\, P_\ell(\mu_c^c)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where Φ_c and ϕ_c^c are the azimuthal angles of $\hat{k}'_c$ and $\hat{k}_c$, respectively. In deriving Eq.(41), only $m=0$ term survived, because the photon distribution of $\hat{k}_c$ is axially symmetric for the z-axis.

Now, we introduce a new variable s_c by

$$x'_c = e^{s_c} x_c\,. \tag{42}$$

Inserting Eqs. (38), (39) and (41) into Eq. (27), one finally obtains the rate equation in the CG frame as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c)\, \left[n(e^{s_c}x_c) - n(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c)\, D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}+2)\, \left[n(e^{s_c}x_c) - n(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \beta_c P_1(\mu_c^c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{1,c}(s_c)\, D_{x_c} n(e^{s_c}x_c) - D_{x_c} n(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c^c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{2,c}(s_c)\, D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}-1)\, n(e^{s_c}x_c) \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. - D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}-1)\, n(x_c)\right]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{\ell,c}(s_c)\, n(e^{s_c}x_c) \\ &= \frac{3}{64\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp_c\, p_c^2\, p_{e,c}(E_c) \int d\Omega_{p_c} \int d\Omega_{k'_c} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \\ &\qquad \times \frac{1-\beta\mu}{(1-\beta\mu')^2}\, \bar{X}_A\, P_\ell(\cos\Theta_c)\, n(x'_c)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

In deriving Eq. (43), we used the normalization for $P_{0,c}(s_c)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c) \,=\, 1\,. \tag{45}$$

In Appendix B, we study on the normalizations for $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$.

As we will show explicitly in Section 6, the redistribution function $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ satisfies the following useful relation:

$$P_{\ell,c}(s_c) = e^{3s_c}\, P_{\ell,c}(-s_c) \tag{46}$$

for ℓ=0, 1 and 2. Because of the relation, one can use the same rate equation of Eq. (43) for the spectral intensity function $I(x_c) \equiv x_c^3 n_c(x_c)/2\pi^2$ except for replacing e^{s_c} by e^{-s_c} and D_{x_c} by $D_{x_c}-3$. Namely, one has as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c)\, \left[I(e^{-s_c}x_c) - I(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c)\, (D_{x_c}-3)(D_{x_c}-1) \\ &\qquad \times \left[I(e^{-s_c}x_c) - I(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \beta_c P_1(\mu_c^c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{1,c}(s_c)\, (D_{x_c}-3)\, I(e^{-s_c}x_c) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - (D_{x_c}-3)\, I(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c^c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{2,c}(s_c)\, (D_{x_c}-3)(D_{x_c}-4) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. \times I(e^{-s_c}x_c) - (D_{x_c}-3)(D_{x_c}-4)\, I(x_c)\right]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

It should be emphasized that the property of Eq. (46) for ℓ=0, 1 and 2 are very remarkable features of the CG frame.

3.2 Photon number conservation

In the present subsection, we verify the photon number conservation of Eq. (43) by integrating over the phase space volume element d^3x_c for the initial photon. One has as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^3x_c\, \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c)\, e^{-3s_c} \int d^3x'_c\, n(x'_c) - \int d^3x_c\, n(x_c) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c)\, e^{-3s_c} \int d^3x'_c D_{x'_c}(D_{x'_c}+2)\, n(x'_c) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \int d^3x_c D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}+2)\, n(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \beta_c\, 2\pi \int_0^\infty dx_c\, x_c^2 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_c^c\, P_1(\mu_c^c) \\ &\qquad \times \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{1,c}(s_c)\, D_{x_c} n(e^{s_c}x_c) - D_{x_c} n(x_c)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2\, 2\pi \int_0^\infty dx_c\, x_c^2 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_c^c\, P_2(\mu_c^c) \\ &\qquad \times \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{2,c}(s_c)\, D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}-1)\, n(e^{s_c}x_c)\right. \end{aligned}$$

$$-D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}-1)\,n(x_c)\Bigg] \,, \tag{48}$$

where we introduced $x_c' = e^{s_c} x_c$. Note also that the z-direction was chosen along $\vec{\beta}_c$ direction for the integration over the solid angles. Using Eqs. (45) and (46), the first two terms in Eq. (48) cancel out with each other. The third and fourth terms also cancel out with each other by the same reason. The other terms become zeros by the μ_c^c integrals. Thus, the photon number is conserved in the CG frame, namely

$$\int d^3 x_c \, \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} = 0 \,. \tag{49}$$

3.3 Operator representation and the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation

In Nozawa, Kohyama and Itoh (2009), a formal solution was shown for the rate equation of the leading-order SZ effect by introducing an operator $\mathcal{O}(D) {=} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds P_1(s) e^{sD} - 1$. In the present subsection, we extend the operator representation formalism to the rate equation of Eq. (43). Let us first introduce an operator $\mathcal{O}_\ell(D_z)$ by

$$\mathcal{O}_\ell(D_z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c \, P_{\ell,c}(s_c)\, e^{s_c D_z} \; - \; 1 \,. \tag{50}$$

Then, Eq. (43) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} = \Bigg[& \mathcal{O}_0(D_{x_c}) + \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 \, \mathcal{O}_0(D_{x_c})\, D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}+2) \\ & + \beta_c P_1(\mu_c^c)\, \mathcal{O}_1(D_{x_c})\, D_{x_c} \\ & + \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c^c)\, \mathcal{O}_2(D_{x_c})\, D_{x_c}(D_{x_c}-1) \Bigg] n(x_c) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

We now derive the expressions for the operators $\mathcal{O}_\ell(D_z)$ in the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation. The expression for $\mathcal{O}_0(D_z)$ was already shown in Nozawa, Kohyama and Itoh (2009). We recall the results here so that the present paper is self-contained. They are as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_0(D_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{5} \mathcal{O}_{0,n} \theta_e^n \,, \tag{52}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,0} = 0 \,, \tag{53}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,1} = \Delta_z \,, \tag{54}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,2} = -\frac{3}{10}\Delta_z + \frac{7}{10}\Delta_z^2 \,, \tag{55}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,3} = -\frac{31}{120}\Delta_z - \frac{14}{15}\Delta_z^2 + \frac{11}{30}\Delta_z^3 \,, \tag{56}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,4} = \frac{23}{56}\Delta_z + \frac{23}{21}\Delta_z^2 - \frac{431}{420}\Delta_z^3 + \frac{16}{105}\Delta_z^4 \,, \tag{57}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,5} = \frac{1457}{896}\Delta_z - \frac{309}{140}\Delta_z^2 + \frac{839}{336}\Delta_z^3 - \frac{74}{105}\Delta_z^4 + \frac{11}{210}\Delta_z^5 \,, \tag{58}$$

$$\Delta_z \equiv D_z(D_z+3) = \frac{1}{z^2}\frac{d}{dz}\left(z^4 \frac{d}{dz} \right) \,, \tag{59}$$

where $\theta_e = k_B T_{\rm CMB}/m$ and $\mathcal{O}(\theta_e^6)$ terms were neglected. It should be emphasized that the photon number conservation is automatically guaranteed for the operator $\mathcal{O}_0(D_z)$ in the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation, because it can be written as a function of Δ_z alone.

Similarly, one obtains the expressions for $\mathcal{O}_1(D_z)$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(D_z)$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\theta_e^5)$ term as follows:

(i) for $\ell = 1$

$$\mathcal{O}_1(D_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{5} \mathcal{O}_{1,n} \theta_e^n \,, \tag{60}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,0} = -1 \,, \tag{61}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,1} = -\frac{2}{5} - \frac{2}{5}\Delta_z \,, \tag{62}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{5}\Delta_z - \frac{2}{5}\Delta_z^2 \,, \tag{63}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,3} = \frac{407}{140} - \frac{233}{140}\Delta_z + \frac{34}{35}\Delta_z^2 - \frac{17}{70}\Delta_z^3 \,, \tag{64}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,4} = -\frac{363}{28} + \frac{703}{84}\Delta_z - \frac{67}{21}\Delta_z^2 + \frac{409}{420}\Delta_z^3 - \frac{23}{210}\Delta_z^4 \,, \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{1,5} = \frac{23363}{448} - \frac{160165}{4032}\Delta_z + \frac{3547}{252}\Delta_z^2 - \frac{2143}{560}\Delta_z^3 \\ + \frac{29}{45}\Delta_z^4 - \frac{5}{126}\Delta_z^5 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

(ii) for $\ell = 2$

$$\mathcal{O}_2(D_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{5} \mathcal{O}_{2,n} \theta_e^n \,, \tag{67}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,0} = -\frac{9}{10} \,, \tag{68}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,1} = -\frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{10}\Delta_z \,, \tag{69}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,2} = \frac{183}{70} - \frac{3}{4}\Delta_z + \frac{1}{7}\Delta_z^2 \,, \tag{70}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,3} = -\frac{429}{40} + \frac{1535}{336}\Delta_z - \frac{14}{15}\Delta_z^2 + \frac{23}{210}\Delta_z^3 \,, \tag{71}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,4} = \frac{2559}{56} - \frac{8287}{336}\Delta_z + \frac{17}{3}\Delta_z^2 - \frac{341}{420}\Delta_z^3 + \frac{2}{35}\Delta_z^4 \,, \tag{72}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{2,5} = -\frac{26961}{128} + \frac{704183}{5376}\Delta_z - \frac{70843}{2100}\Delta_z^2 + \frac{43901}{8400}\Delta_z^3 \\ - \frac{18}{35}\Delta_z^4 + \frac{4}{175}\Delta_z^5 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Note that unlike the $\mathcal{O}_0(D_z)$ operator, the $\mathcal{O}_1(D_z)$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(D_z)$ operators contain nonzero constant terms. Therefore, the photon number conservations are not automatically guaranteed for these operators.

4 TRANSFORMATION TO THE CMB FRAME

4.1 Rate equations

In the present section, we rewrite Eq. (43) in terms of variables in the CMB frame. Inserting the result into Eq. (10), one obtains the expression for the rate equation in the CMB frame.

First, we expand x_c up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta_c^2)$ terms under the assumption $\beta_c \ll 1$ as follows:

$$x_c = x - \beta_c \mu_c x + \frac{1}{2}\beta_c^2 x \,, \tag{74}$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} n(x_c) = n(x) &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 D_x (D_x + 2)\, n(x) \\ &+ \beta_c P_1(\mu_c)\, D_x n(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c)\, D_x (D_x - 1)\, n(x) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n(e^{s_c} x_c) = n(e^{s_c} x) &+ \frac{1}{6}\beta_c^2 D_x (D_x + 2)\, n(e^{s_c} x) \\ &+ \beta_c P_1(\mu_c)\, D_x n(e^{s_c} x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c)\, D_x (D_x - 1)\, n(e^{s_c} x) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Equation (37) can be also expanded as

$$\mu_c^c = \mu_c + \frac{2}{3}\left\{ P_2(\mu_c) - 1 \right\} \beta_c + \mathcal{O}(\beta_c^2) \,. \tag{77}$$

Inserting Eqs. (75)–(77) into Eq. (43), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_c(x, \mu_c)}{d\tau_c} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, \left[n(e^s x) - n(x) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x (D_x + 2)\, n(e^s x) \\ &- \beta_c\, P_1(\mu_c) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x\, n(e^s x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2\, P_2(\mu_c) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_q(s)\, D_x (D_x - 1)\, n(e^s x) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where we introduced

$$P_m(s) = P_{0,c}(s) \,, \tag{79}$$

$$P_d(s) = P_{0,c}(s) - P_{1,c}(s) \,, \tag{80}$$

$$P_q(s) = P_{2,c}(s) - 2\, P_{1,c}(s) + P_{0,c}(s) \,. \tag{81}$$

In deriving Eq. (78), we replaced the integral variable s_c by a new variable s.

Before proceed to the final step in deriving the rate equation in the CMB frame, it should be noted as follows. As shown in Section 3.2, Eq. (43) satisfied the photon number conservation. However, Eq. (78) which corresponds to Eq. (25) of Chluba et al. (2012) contains the terms violating the photon number conservation in the β_c^2 term. This can be checked immediately with the explicit forms for the $\mathcal{O}_1(D_z)$ operator of Eqs. (60)–(66). This illustrates that the photon number conservation is representation dependent. On the other hand, we will show in the next subsection that Eq. (10) conserves the photon number.

Inserting Eq. (78) into Eq. (10), one finally obtains the rate equation in the CMB frame as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn(x)}{d\tau} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, \left[n(e^s x) - n(x) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x (D_x + 3)\, n(e^s x) \\ &- \beta_c\, P_1(\mu_c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x\, n(e^s x) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, \left\{ n(e^s x) - n(x) \right\} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2\, P_2(\mu_c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_q(s)\, D_x (D_x - 1)\, n(e^s x) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x\, n(e^s x) \right] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

In the next subsection, we compare the present result with previous works. As shown in Eq. (79), the expression of the leading-order thermal SZ effect is common for both frames.

Finally, it should be noted that one can use Eq. (82) for the spectral intensity function $I(x) \equiv x^3 n(x)/2\pi^2$ except for replacing e^s by e^{-s} and D_x by $D_x - 3$. This can be immediately verified with Eq. (46).

4.2 Photon number conservation

In the present subsection, the photon number conservation of Eq. (82) is checked by integrating over the phase space volume element d^3x for the initial photon. One has as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^3x\, \frac{dn(x)}{d\tau} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s) e^{-3s} \int d^3x'\, n(x') - \int d^3x\, n(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s) e^{-3s} \int d^3x'\, D_{x'} (D_{x'} + 3)\, n(x') \\ &- \beta_c\, 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dx\, x^2 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_c P_1(\mu_c) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x\, n(e^s x) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, \left\{ n(e^s x) - n(x) \right\} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2\, 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dx\, x^2 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_c P_2(\mu_c) \\ &\qquad \times \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_q(s)\, D_x (D_x - 1)\, n(e^s x) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_x\, n(e^s x) \right] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

where we introduced $x' = e^s x$, and therefore, $d^3x = e^{-3s} d^3x'$. Note also that the z-direction was chosen along $\vec{\beta}_c$ direction for the integration over the solid angles.

Using Eqs. (45) and (46), the first two terms in Eq. (83) cancel out with each other. The third term becomes zero, because

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} dx'\, x'^2\, D_{x'} (D_{x'} + 3)\, n(x') \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} dx'\, \frac{d}{dx'} \left[x'^4\, \frac{dn(x')}{dx'} \right] = x'^4\, \frac{dn(x')}{dx'} \bigg|_0^{\infty} = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Other terms become zeros by the μ_c integrals. Thus, the photon number is conserved in the CMB frame, namely

$$\int d^3x\, \frac{dn(x)}{d\tau} = 0 \,. \tag{85}$$

4.3 Comparison with previous works

In order to compare the present work with previous works such as Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998) (denoted as NIK as under) and Chluba et al. (2012), we first reexpress the rate equation of Eq. (82) in the operator representation with Eq. (50). One has as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dn(x)}{d\tau} = \Big[& \mathcal{O}_0(D_x) \\ & + \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 \left\{ \mathcal{O}_0(D_x) - \mathcal{O}_1(D_x) \right\} D_x(D_x+3) \\ & - \beta_c P_1(\mu_c) \left\{ \mathcal{O}_0(D_x)(D_x+1) - \mathcal{O}_1(D_x) D_x \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c) \Big\{ \mathcal{O}_2(D_x)\, D_x(D_x-1) - 2\, \mathcal{O}_1(D_x)\, D_x^2 \\ & \qquad + \mathcal{O}_0(D_x)\, D_x(D_x+1) \Big\} \Big] n(x) \,. \qquad (86)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one can also express the rate equation of NIK (1998) in the operator representation. One has as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dn(x)}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{\gamma_c} \left[\frac{dn(x)}{d\tau_c} \right]_{\rm NIK} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma_c} \Big[\mathcal{O}_0(D_x) + \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2\, \mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},3}(D_x) \\ & \qquad - \beta_c P_1(\mu_c)\, \mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},1}(D_x) \\ & \qquad + \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c)\, \mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},2}(D_x) \Big] n(x) \,. \qquad (87)\end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (87), the factor $1/\gamma_c$ was multiplied to the relate equation of NKI (1998) in order to be consistent with the definition of the rate equation of Eq. (86). The reason for the factor is because N_e^c was used in NIK (1998) instead of N_e for the electron number density in the CMB frame. The factor is consistent with the comment indicated by Chluba et al. (2012). The operators $\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},\ell}(D_z)$ are calculated by Eqs. (14)–(17) of NIK (1998). They are

$$\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},1}(D_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{4} \mathcal{O}_{1,n} \theta_e^n \,, \qquad (88)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,0} = D_z \,, \qquad (89)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,1} = \frac{17}{5} D_z + \frac{26}{5} D_z^2 + \frac{7}{5} D_z^3 \,, \qquad (90)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{1,2} = -\frac{7}{10} D_z + \frac{39}{10} D_z^2 + \frac{67}{5} D_z^3 + \frac{73}{10} D_z^4 + \frac{11}{10} D_z^5 \,, \qquad (91)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{1,3} = & -\frac{1031}{280} D_z - \frac{373}{84} D_z^2 - \frac{9607}{840} D_z^3 + \frac{2939}{210} D_z^4 \\ & + \frac{3749}{210} D_z^5 + \frac{1229}{210} D_z^6 + \frac{64}{105} D_z^7 \,, \qquad (92)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{1,4} = & \frac{795}{56} D_z - \frac{381}{28} D_z^2 + \frac{7961}{840} D_z^3 - \frac{17873}{420} D_z^4 \\ & - \frac{2979}{140} D_z^5 + \frac{1469}{84} D_z^6 + \frac{489}{35} D_z^7 + \frac{346}{105} D_z^8 + \frac{11}{42} D_z^9 \,, \qquad (93)\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},2}(D_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \mathcal{O}_{2,n} \theta_e^n \,, \qquad (94)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,0} = \frac{9}{10} D_z + \frac{11}{10} D_z^2 \,, \qquad (95)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2,1} = \frac{3}{5} D_z + \frac{29}{10} D_z^2 + \frac{33}{5} D_z^3 + \frac{19}{10} D_z^4 \,, \qquad (96)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},3}(D_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{1} \mathcal{O}_{3,n} \theta_e^n \,, \qquad (97)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{3,0} = D_z(D_z+3) \,, \qquad (98)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{3,1} = D_z(D_z+3) \left(\frac{19}{10} + \frac{21}{5} D_z + \frac{7}{5} D_z^2 \right) \,. \qquad (99)$$

Comparing Eqs. (88)–(99) with Eqs. (52)–(73), one finds

$$\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},1}(D_z) = \mathcal{O}_0(D_z)(D_z+1) - \mathcal{O}_1(D_z) D_z \,, \qquad (100)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},2}(D_z) = & \mathcal{O}_2(D_z)\, D_z(D_z-1) - 2\, \mathcal{O}_1(D_z)\, D_z^2 \\ & + \mathcal{O}_0(D_z)\, D_z(D_z+1) \,. \qquad (101)\end{aligned}$$

Equations (100) and (101) show that two calculations agree for the β_c term and $\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c)$ term. On the other hand, as for the $\mathcal{O}_{{\rm NIK},3}(D_z)$ operator, there is a difference in Eq. (99) by amount of $3/2 D_z(D_z+3)$. However, this term exactly cancels with the β_c^2 term in the $1/\gamma_c\, \mathcal{O}_0(D_z)$ term, namely,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\beta_c^2 \mathcal{O}_0(D_z) = -\frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 \frac{3}{2} D_z(D_z+3)\, \theta_e + \mathcal{O}(\theta_e^2) \,. \qquad (102)$$

Therefore, the two calculations agree completely. This clarifies the relation of the calculations between the CMB and CG frames. Namely, as far as the CMB photon distributions are spatially homogeneous in both of the CMB and CG frames, the two calculations are equivalent.

5 TRANSFORMATION TO THE GENERAL OBSERVER'S FRAME

Let us now consider that the OBS frame is moving with a velocity $\vec{\beta}_o$ with respect to the CMB frame. The variables in the OBS frame are defined in Section 2. The photon energies x and x_o are related by the Lorentz transformation:

$$x = \gamma_o \left(1 + \beta_o \mu_o^o\right) x_o \,, \qquad (103)$$

where μ_o^o is given by Eq. (15). Then, one expands $n(x)$ and $n(e^s x)$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta_o^2)$ terms under the assumption $\beta_o \ll 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}n(x) = n(x_0) & + \frac{1}{6}\beta_o^2 D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+2)\, n(x_o) \\ & + \beta_o P_1(\mu_o^o)\, D_{x_o} n(x_o) \\ & + \frac{1}{3}\beta_o^2 P_2(\mu_o^o)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}-1)\, n(x_o) \,, \qquad (104)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}n(e^s x) = n(e^s x_o) & + \frac{1}{6}\beta_o^2 D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+2)\, n(e^s x_o) \\ & + \beta_o P_1(\mu_o^o)\, D_{x_o} n(e^s x_o) \\ & + \frac{1}{3}\beta_o^2 P_2(\mu_o^o)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}-1)\, n(e^s x_o) \,. \qquad (105)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\beta_c P_1(\mu_c)$ and $\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c)$ can be also transformed with Eq. (17) as follows:

$$\beta_c P_1(\mu_c) = \beta_c P_1(\mu_c^o) + \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \vec{\beta}_c - \vec{\beta}_o \cdot \hat{k}_o \vec{\beta}_c \cdot \hat{k}_o \,, \qquad (106)$$

$$\beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c) = \beta_c^2 P_2(\mu_c^o) \,, \qquad (107)$$

where μ_c^o is given by Eq. (20).

We finally obtain the expression for Eq. (22) with Eqs. (104)–(107) as follows:

$$\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} = \left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} \right]_{\beta_c} + \left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} \right]_{\beta_o} + \left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} \right]_{\beta_o \beta_c} , (108)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o}\right]_{\beta_c} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, [n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+3)\, n(e^s x_o) \\ &- \beta_c\, P_1(\mu_c^o) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}\, n(e^s x_o) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, \{n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)\}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_c^2\, P_2(\mu_c^o) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_q(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}-1)\, n(e^s x_o) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + 2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}\, n(e^s x_o)\right] , \qquad (109)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o}\right]_{\beta_o} \\ &= \frac{1}{6}\beta_o^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+4)\, [n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)] \\ &+ \beta_o\, P_1(\mu_o^o) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, (D_{x_o}+1)\, [n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\beta_o^2\, P_2(\mu_o^o) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+1) \\ &\qquad \times [n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)] , \qquad (110)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o}\right]_{\beta_o\beta_c} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+3)\, n(e^s x_o) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, D_{x_o}\, \{n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)\}\right] \\ &- \left(\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\hat{k}_o \vec{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{k}_o - \frac{1}{3}\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c\right) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}^2 n(e^s x_o) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, D_{x_o}\, \{n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)\}\right] . \qquad (111)\end{aligned}$$

The photon number conservation is manifest for the rate equation of Eq. (108) by the following reason. Equation (109) which is identical to Eq. (82) satisfies the photon number conservation. The photon number conservation of Eq. (110) is shown with Eqs. (45) and (46). As for Eq. (111), the first two terms in the [ ] brackets are zeros by the same reasons discussed in Section 4. The last two terms become zeros by the integral over the solid angles, because the terms in the ( ) brackets are expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}&\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\hat{k}_o \vec{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{k}_o - \frac{1}{3}\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c \\ &= \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}} \sum_{m=-2}^{+2} (-1)^m\, [\vec{\beta}_o\times\vec{\beta}_c]^{(2)}_m\, Y_{2,-m}(\hat{k}_o) . \qquad (112)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the photon number is conserved for Eq. (108), namely

$$\int d^3x_o\, \frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} = 0 . \qquad (113)$$

Let us now study the expression of Eq. (108) in the limit $\vec{\beta}_o = \vec{\beta}_c$. It is nontrivial whether the obtained expression has the correct form in the limit $\vec{\beta}_o = \vec{\beta}_c$. In this limit, one can show that $\mu_o^o = \mu_c^o = \mu_c^c$ and $N_e^o = N_e^c$ (shown in Appendix A). Then, it is straightforward to show that

$$\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o} = \frac{dn_c(x_c)}{d\tau_c} . \qquad (114)$$

Therefore, Eq. (108) is correctly reduced to Eq. (43) in the limit of $\vec{\beta}_o \to \vec{\beta}_c$ as it should.

Before closing the present section, it should be remarked as follows. In Chluba et al. (2012), it was suggested to use μ_c instead of μ_c^o in describing the rate equation of Eq. (108). These angles are related with Eq. (18) by

$$\mu_c^o = \mu_c + \beta_o\, \mu_c\, \mu_o^o - \vec{\beta}_o\cdot\hat{\beta}_c + \mathcal{O}(\beta_o^2) . \qquad (115)$$

Inserting Eq. (115) into Eq. (108), one finds the following modifications. As for Eq. (109), $P_\ell(\mu_c^o)$ should be simply replaced by $P_\ell(\mu_c)$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. There are no modifications to Eq. (110). On the other hand, Eq. (111) is modified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\frac{dn_o(x_o)}{d\tau_o}\right]_{\beta_o\beta_c} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+1)\, n(e^s x_o) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, (D_{x_o}-2)\, \{n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)\}\right] \\ &- \left(\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\hat{k}\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{k} - \frac{1}{3}\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c\right) \\ &\times \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_d(s)\, D_{x_o}(D_{x_o}+1) n(e^s x_o) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_m(s)\, (D_{x_o}+1)\, \{n(e^s x_o) - n(x_o)\}\right] . \qquad (116)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the first term in the $\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c$ terms does not satisfy the photon number conservation unless $\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c = 0$, which again illustrates that the photon number conservation is representation dependent.

6 CALCULATION OF $P_{\ell,C}(S_C,\beta)$

6.1 Analytic expression for $P_{\ell,c}(s_c,\beta)$

For the practical calculation of the rate equation, Eq. (43) can be solved by calculating 5-dimensional integrals of Eq. (44). For the present computer technologies, the direct numerical integration can be done within a reasonable CPU time. However, it is extremely important to derive analytic expressions not only to reduce the CPU time of the computer, but also to investigate various properties of functions in the equation. The obtained analytic expression will be extremely useful to analyze observational data.

The purpose of the present subsection is to calculate the redistribution function $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ in Eq. (44). The calculation is simplified in the electron rest (ER) frame. According to

Nozawa and Kohyama (2009), this can be done by the following Lorentz transformations for the photon angles from the CG frame to the ER frame:

$$\mu = \frac{-\mu_0 + \beta}{1 - \beta \mu_0} \, , \tag{117}$$

$$\mu' = \frac{-\mu'_0 + \beta}{1 - \beta \mu'_0} \, , \tag{118}$$

where

$$\mu_0 = \hat{\beta} \cdot \hat{k}_0 \, , \tag{119}$$

$$\mu'_0 = \hat{\beta} \cdot \hat{k}'_0 \, . \tag{120}$$

In Eqs. (119) and (120), $\hat{k}_0$ and $\hat{k}'_0$ are unit vectors of the initial and final photons in the ER frame, respectively. Then, Eq. (29) is simplified as

$$\bar{X}_{A,0} = \frac{4}{3} \, P_0(\cos\Theta_0) + \frac{2}{3} \, P_2(\cos\Theta_0) \, , \tag{121}$$

where

$$\cos\Theta_0 = \mu_0 \mu'_0 + \sqrt{1 - \mu_0^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu_0'^2} \cos(\phi_{k_c} - \phi_{k'_c}) \tag{122}$$

is the cosine between $\hat{k}_0$ and $\hat{k}'_0$. In Eq. (121), the first term corresponds to the isotropic scattering and the second term gives the anisotropic scattering.

Similarly, $P_\ell(\cos\Theta_c)$ can be also reexpressed with $P_\ell(\cos\Theta_0)$ as follows:

$$P_0(\cos\Theta_c) = P_0(\cos\Theta_0) = 1 \, , \tag{123}$$

$$P_1(\cos\Theta_c) = 1 - a + a \, P_1(\cos\Theta_0) \, , \tag{124}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(\cos\Theta_c) = 1 - 3a + 2a^2 &+ 3a(1-a) \, P_1(\cos\Theta_0) \\ &+ a^2 P_2(\cos\Theta_0) \, , \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{\gamma^2 (1 - \beta \mu_0)(1 - \beta \mu'_0)} \, . \tag{126}$$

Inserting Eqs. (117) and (118) into Eq. (28), one has

$$e^{s_c} = \frac{\omega'_c}{\omega_c} = \frac{1 - \beta \mu'_0}{1 - \beta \mu_0} \, , \tag{127}$$

which implies

$$\mu'_0 = \frac{1}{\beta} [1 - e^{s_c} (1 - \beta \mu_0)] \, , \tag{128}$$

$$d\mu'_0 = -\frac{1}{\beta} \, (1 - \beta \mu_0) \, e^{s_c} ds_c \, . \tag{129}$$

Thus, μ'_0 is expressed with μ_0 and s_c.

One can then reexpress $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ in Eq. (44) as follows:

$$P_{\ell,c}(s_c) = \int_{\beta_{\rm min}}^{1} d\beta \, \beta^2 \gamma^5 \tilde{p}_{e,c}(\beta) \, P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta) \, , \tag{130}$$

$$\beta_{\rm min} = \frac{1 - e^{-|s_c|}}{1 + e^{-|s_c|}} \, , \tag{131}$$

where $\tilde{p}_{e,c}(\beta) \equiv m^3 p_{e,c}(E_c)$, m is the electron rest mass, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta) = \frac{3 e^{s_c}}{64 \pi^2 \beta \gamma^4} & \int_{\mu_1(s_c)}^{\mu_2(s_c)} d\mu_0 \frac{1}{(1 - \beta \mu_0)^2} \\ & \times \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k_c} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k'_c} \bar{X}_{A,0} \, P_\ell(\cos\Theta_c) \, , \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

$$\mu_1(s_c) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -1 & \text{for } \; s_c < 0 \\ {[1 - e^{-s_c}(1 + \beta)]/\beta} & \text{for } \; s_c > 0 \end{array} \right. \, , \tag{133}$$

$$\mu_2(s_c) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} {[1 - e^{-s_c}(1 - \beta)]/\beta} & \text{for } \; s_c < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } \; s_c > 0 \end{array} \right. \, . \tag{134}$$

Before proceed to the practical calculation, it should be emphasized as follows. In Eq. (132), photon zenith angles (μ_0 and μ'_0) are described in the ER frame. On the other hand, energies (ω_c, ω'_c and β) and azimuthal angles (ϕ_{k_c} and $\phi_{k'_c}$) are left in the CG frame. Therefore, the present coordinate frame is a hybrid system of the CG and ER frames. When the triple integrals are completed, however, the obtained $P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta)$ becomes the function of only variables in the CG frame.

Inserting Eq. (121) into Eq. (132), one has

$$P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta) = P_{\ell 0,c}(s_c, \beta) + P_{\ell 2,c}(s_c, \beta) \, , \tag{135}$$

$$P_{\ell 0,c}(s_c, \beta) = \frac{3 e^{s_c}}{16 \beta \gamma^4} \int_{\mu_1(s_c)}^{\mu_2(s_c)} d\mu_0 \frac{1}{(1 - \beta \mu_0)^2} \, \frac{4}{3} \, I_{\ell 0} \, , \tag{136}$$

$$P_{\ell 2,c}(s_c, \beta) = \frac{3 e^{s_c}}{16 \beta \gamma^4} \int_{\mu_1(s_c)}^{\mu_2(s_c)} d\mu_0 \frac{1}{(1 - \beta \mu_0)^2} \, \frac{2}{3} \, I_{\ell 2} \, , \tag{137}$$

where

$$I_{\ell \ell'} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k_c} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k'_c} \, P_\ell(\cos\Theta_c) \, P_{\ell'}(\cos\Theta_0) \, . \tag{138}$$

Equation (138) can be integrated analytically, one obtains as follows:

(i) for $\ell = 0$

$$I_{00} = 1 \, , \tag{139}$$

$$I_{02} = P_2(\mu_0) P_2(\mu'_0) \, , \tag{140}$$

(ii) for $\ell = 1$

$$I_{10} = 1 + a \left(-1 + \mu_0 \mu'_0 \right) \, , \tag{141}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} = P_2(\mu_0) P_2(\mu'_0) + a & \left\{ \frac{2}{5} \, \mu_0 \mu'_0 - P_2(\mu_0) P_2(\mu'_0) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{3}{5} \, P_3(\mu_0) P_3(\mu'_0) \right\} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

(iii) for $\ell = 2$

$$I_{20} = -2 + 3 \, I_{10} + a^2 \left\{ 2 - 3 \, \mu_0 \mu'_0 + P_2(\mu_0) P_2(\mu'_0) \right\} \, , \tag{143}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} = & -2 \, P_2(\mu_0) P_2(\mu'_0) + 3 \, I_{12} \\ & + a^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{5} - \frac{6}{5} \, \mu_0 \mu'_0 + \frac{16}{7} \, P_2(\mu_0) P_2(\mu'_0) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{9}{5} \, P_3(\mu_0) P_3(\mu'_0) + \frac{18}{35} \, P_4(\mu_0) P_4(\mu'_0) \right\} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

where a and μ'_0 are given by Eqs. (126) and (128), respectively.

Our final task in the present subsection is to derive analytic expression for $P_{\ell \ell',c}(s_c, \beta)$. After lengthy but straightforward calculation, one finally obtains the following results:

(i) for $\ell = 0$

$$P_{00,c}(s_c,\beta) = \frac{e^{3s_c/2}}{2\beta^2\gamma^2}\left[\beta\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\right] , \tag{145}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{02,c}(s_c,\beta) &= \frac{1}{2}\,P_{00,c}(s_c,\beta) \\
&+\frac{e^{3s_c/2}}{4\beta^2\gamma^2}\left[\frac{3}{\beta\gamma^2}\left(\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\right. \\
&\qquad\times\left(3\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{2}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad+\frac{9}{\beta^3\gamma^4}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} \\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{3}{2\beta^4\gamma^2}\left(3-\beta^2\right)\left(\lambda_\beta - |s_c|\right)\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

where

$$\lambda_\beta = \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) , \tag{147}$$

$$\cosh z = \frac{e^z + e^{-z}}{2} , \tag{148}$$

$$\sinh z = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{2} . \tag{149}$$

Note that Eq. (145) is the familiar expression in the isotropic scattering approximation. On the other hand, Eq. (146) gives the anisotropic scattering contribution.

Similarly, one has as follows:

(ii) for $\ell = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{10,c}(s_c,\beta) \\
&= \frac{e^{3s_c/2}}{2\beta^2\gamma^2}\left[\frac{1}{3}\beta\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)^3\right. \\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{1}{\beta\gamma^2}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{12,c}(s_c,\beta) &= \frac{1}{2}\,P_{10,c}(s_c,\beta) \\
&+\frac{e^{3s_c/2}}{4\beta^2\gamma^2}\left[\frac{3}{\beta\gamma^2}\left(\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\right. \\
&\qquad\times\left(5\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{2}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{\beta^3\gamma^4}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\left(82\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\frac{25}{\beta}\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2} - \frac{20}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad+\frac{75}{\beta^5\gamma^6}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} \\
&\qquad-\frac{3}{2\beta^4\gamma^2}\left(\lambda_\beta - |s_c|\right)\left(3-\beta^2\right)\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} \\
&\qquad-\frac{3}{2\beta^6\gamma^4}\left(\lambda_\beta - |s_c|\right)\left\{3\left(5-\beta^2\right)\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.+2\left(5-3\beta^2\right)\cosh^3\frac{s_c}{2}\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

(iii) for $\ell = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{20,c}(s_c,\beta) \\
&= \frac{e^{3s_c/2}}{2\beta^2\gamma^2}\left[\frac{1}{5}\beta\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)^5\right. \\
&\qquad-\frac{2}{\beta\gamma^2}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)^2\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2} \\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{1}{\beta^3\gamma^4}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\sinh^4\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{22,c}(s_c,\beta) &= \frac{1}{2}\,P_{20,c}(s_c,\beta) \\
&+\frac{e^{3s_c/2}}{4\beta^2\gamma^2}\left[\frac{6}{\beta\gamma^2}\left(\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)^2\right. \\
&\qquad\times\left(3\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad+\frac{3}{\beta^3\gamma^4}\left(\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad\times\left(94\cosh^3\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{51}{\beta}\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\frac{54}{\beta^2}\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2} + \frac{18}{\beta^3}\sinh^3\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad+\frac{3}{4\beta^5\gamma^6}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad\times\left(32\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{59}{\beta}\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\frac{40}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2} \\
&\qquad+\frac{15}{4\beta^5\gamma^6}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right) \\
&\qquad\times\left(211\cosh^2\frac{s_c}{2} + \frac{49}{\beta}\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\frac{161}{\beta^2}\sinh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\cosh^2\frac{|s_c|}{2} \\
&\qquad+\frac{2205}{4\beta^7\gamma^8}\left(\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\sinh\frac{|s_c|}{2}\right)\cosh^4\frac{s_c}{2} \\
&\qquad-\frac{3}{2\beta^4\gamma^2}\left(\lambda_\beta - |s_c|\right)\left(3-\beta^2\right)\cosh\frac{s_c}{2} \\
&\qquad-\frac{9}{2\beta^6\gamma^4}\left(\lambda_\beta - |s_c|\right)\left\{3\left(5-\beta^2\right)\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+2\left(5-3\beta^2\right)\cosh^3\frac{s_c}{2}\right\} \\
&\qquad-\frac{45}{8\beta^8\gamma^6}\left(\lambda_\beta - |s_c|\right)\left\{3\left(7-\beta^2\right)\cosh\frac{s_c}{2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.+4\left(7-3\beta^2\right)\cosh^3\frac{s_c}{2}\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

Thus, 5-dimensional integrals for the rate equations are reduced to 2-dimensional integrals not only for the calculation in the CG frame but also in the CMB and OBS frames, which will reduce the CPU time of the numerical calculations drastically.

6.2 Properties of functions $P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta)$ and $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$

In the present subsection, we study various properties of the redistribution functions $P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta)$ and $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$. As already indicated in Section 3, $P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta)$ and $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ satisfy the following relations:

$$P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta) = e^{3s_c} \, P_{\ell,c}(-s_c, \beta) \tag{154}$$

and

$$P_{\ell,c}(s_c) = e^{3s_c} \, P_{\ell,c}(-s_c) \, . \tag{155}$$

In Eqs. (145), (146) and (150)–(153), all functions in the [ ] brackets are even functions of s_c. Therefore, a multiplicative function $e^{3s_c/2}$ in $P_{\ell\ell',c}(s_c, \beta)$ gives the property of Eq. (154). Equation (155) can be immediately obtained with Eq. (130).

Now, we study the behavior of the function $P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta)$ at specific values of β. First, one has at $\beta = \beta_{\rm min}$,

$$P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta_{\rm min}) = 0 \, , \tag{156}$$

for ℓ=0, 1 and 2. This can be easily verified with the following identity relations:

$$\cosh \frac{s_c}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta_{\rm min}} \sinh \frac{|s_c|}{2} = 0 \tag{157}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\beta_{\rm min}} - |s_c| = 0 \, . \tag{158}$$

Then, one has at β=1 as follows:

$$\gamma^2 \, P_{0,c}(s_c, 1) = \frac{3}{4} e^{3s_c/2} \, e^{-|s_c|/2} \, , \tag{159}$$

$$\gamma^2 \, P_{1,c}(s_c, 1) = \frac{3}{4} e^{3s_c/2} \, \frac{1}{3} \, e^{-3|s_c|/2} \, , \tag{160}$$

$$\gamma^2 \, P_{2,c}(s_c, 1) = \frac{3}{4} e^{3s_c/2} \, \frac{1}{5} \, e^{-5|s_c|/2} \, . \tag{161}$$

These properties will be extremely useful for checking the numerical accuracy of the numerical calculations.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We studied the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect for the clusters of galaxies in the Thomson approximation. In Section 2, we started with the Lorentz invariant expression of the Boltzmann equation for the electron-photon scattering in the CMB frame. Assuming that the initial CMB photon distributions are spatially homogeneous both in the CMB and CG frames, we derived the formal relation for the rate equations between the CMB and CG frames, which agreed with Chluba et al. (2012). We extended the formula to the relation between the CG and OBS frames. The formal relations for the rate equations among three Lorentz frames have been established under the assumption of the spatial homogeneity for the initial CMB photon distributions.

In Section 3, we investigated the rate equation for the photon distribution function in the CG frame. We introduced three redistribution functions $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2$) and expressed the rate equation in terms of these functions. We also reexpressed the rate equation in the operator representation, and the explicit forms were calculated in the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation.

In Section 4, we transformed the rate equation in the CG frame to the CMB frame with the formula established in Section 2. We compared the present result with the previous works such as Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998) and Chluba et al. (2012). We found that the present work agreed with these works completely when we multiplied the factor $1/\gamma_c$ to the result of Nozawa, Itoh and Kohyama (1998).

In Section 5, we transformed the rate equation in the CG frame to the OBS frame. The obtained expression had advantages compared with previous works. First, the photon number conservation was manifestly realized in the present form. Secondly, in the limit of $\vec{\beta}_o \to \vec{\beta}_c$ the present expression was correctly reduced to the form in the CG frame as it should.

Finally, we derived analytic expressions for the redistribution functions $P_{\ell,c}(s_c, \beta)$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$ in Section 6. With these formulas, the 5-dimensional integrals for solving the rate equations were reduced to the 2-dimensional integrals, which will save the numerical computation time drastically. These analytic expressions will be extremely useful for the analysis of the forthcoming observations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to acknowledge Naoki Itoh for enlightening us on this subject and also for many useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

Allen S. W. , Schmidt R. W., Fabian A. C., 2002, MNRAS, 335, 256

Birkinshaw M., 1999, Physics Reports, 310, 97

Carlstrom J. E., Holder G. P., Reese E. D., 2002, ARA&A, 40, 643

Challinor A., Lasenby A., 1998, ApJ, 499, 1

Challinor A., Lasenby A., 1999, ApJ, 510, 930

Challinor A., van Leeuwen F., 2002, Phys. Rev. D, 65, 103001

Chluba J., Nagai D., Saznov S., Nelson K., 2012, MNRAS, in press, arXiv:1205.5778

Hansen S. H., 2004, MNRAS, 351, L5

Itoh N., Kohyama Y., Nozawa S., 1998, ApJ, 502, 7

Itoh N., Nozawa S., Kohyama Y., 2000, ApJ, 533, 588

Kompaneets A. S., 1956, Soviet Physics JETP, 31, 876

Nozawa S., Itoh N., Kohyama Y., 1998, ApJ, 508, 17

Nozawa S., Kohyama Y., 2009, Phys. Rev. D, 79, 083005

Nozawa S., Kohyama Y., Itoh N., 2009, Phys. Rev. D, 79, 123007

Planck Collaboration et al., 2011, A&A, 536, A8

Rephaeli Y., 1995, ApJ, 445, 33

Sazonov S. Y., Sunyaev R. A., 1998, Astronomy Letters 24, 553

Sunyaev R. A., Zeldovich Ya. B., 1980, MNRAS, 190, 413

Sunyaev R. A., Zeldovich Ya. B., 1981, Astrophysics and Space Physics Reviews, 1, 1

Wright E. L., 1979, ApJ, 232, 348

Zeldovich Y. B., Sunyaev R. A., 1969, Astrophysics and Space Science, 4, 301

Zemcov M. et al., 2010, A&A, 518, L16

APPENDIX A: ELECTRON NUMBER DENSITIES IN DIFFERENT LORENTZ FRAMES

In the present appendix, we discuss relations of the electron number densities (N_e^c, N_e and N_e^o) among three different Lorentz frames. Let us first define the isotropic electron distribution function $f_c(E_c)$ in the CG frame as follows:

$$2\int \frac{d^3p_c}{(2\pi)^3}\, f_c(E_c) = N_e^c\,, \tag{A1}$$

where $(E_c, \vec{p}_c)$ is the electron four momenta and N_e^c is electron the number density. The electron distribution $p_{e,c}(E_c)$ used in the main text is related by $f_c(E_c) = N_e^c \pi^2 p_{e,c}(E_c)$. It is assumed that the CG is moving with a velocity $\vec{\beta}_c$ with respect to the CMB frame. Then, we define the electron distribution function $f(E)$ in the CMB frame as follows:

$$2\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\, f(E) = N_e\,, \tag{A2}$$

where $(E, \vec{p})$ is the electron four momenta and N_e is electron the number density. Finally, we define the electron distribution function $f_o(E_o)$ in the OBS frame as follows:

$$2\int \frac{d^3p_o}{(2\pi)^3}\, f_o(E_o) = N_e^o\,, \tag{A3}$$

where $(E_o, \vec{p}_o)$ is the electron four momenta and N_e^o is electron the number density. It is assumed that the OBS is moving with a velocity $\vec{\beta}_o$ with respect to the CMB frame.

Let us first discuss the relation between N_e and N_e^c. The electron energies E and E_c are related by the Lorentz transformation:

$$E = \gamma_c \left(1 + \frac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right) E_c\,. \tag{A4}$$

The electron distribution function is Lorentz invariant, namely,

$$f(E) = f_c(E_c)\,. \tag{A5}$$

Inserting Eq. (A5) into Eq. (A2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} N_e &= 2\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\, f_c(E_c) \\ &= 2\int \frac{d^3p_c}{(2\pi)^3}\,\gamma_c\left(1 + \frac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right) f_c(E_c)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

The last equality in Eq. (A6) was derived by the following reason. The Lorentz invariant phase space volume element is expressed by

$$\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 E}\,, \tag{A7}$$

therefore $d^3p/(2\pi)^3$ receives the same Lorentz transformation as that of E, namely, Eq. (A4). The second term in Eq. (A6) becomes zero by the integral over the solid angles, because $f_c(E_c)$ is assumed to be isotropic. Finally, one has

$$N_e = \gamma_c N_e^c\,. \tag{A8}$$

The electron number density in the CG frame is Lorentz contracted compared with that in the CMB frame.

We now discuss the relation between N_e^o and N_e^c. The electron energies (E_o and E) and momenta (d^3p_o and d^3p) are related by the Lorentz transformations:

$$E_o = \gamma_o\left(1 - \frac{\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{p}}{E}\right) E\,, \tag{A9}$$

$$d^3p_o = \gamma_o\left(1 - \frac{\vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{p}}{E}\right) d^3p\,, \tag{A10}$$

where $\gamma_o = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta_o^2}$. In order to Lorentz boost the right hand side of Eq. (A10) into the CG frame, we first decompose $\vec{p}$ into

$$\vec{p} = p_\parallel \hat{\beta}_c + p_\perp \hat{\beta}_\perp\,, \tag{A11}$$

where $p_\parallel = \hat{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}$ and $p_\perp\hat{\beta}_\perp = \vec{p} - (\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p})\hat{\beta}_c$. The vectors $\hat{\beta}_c$ and $\hat{\beta}_\perp$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{\beta}_\perp = 0\,, \tag{A12}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\hat{\beta}_c = \hat{\beta}_\perp\cdot\hat{\beta}_\perp = 1\,. \tag{A13}$$

Then, one obtains the following transformations:

$$\frac{p_\parallel\hat{\beta}_c}{E} = \frac{\gamma_c\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\left(\vec{p}_c + \vec{\beta}_c E_c\right)\hat{\beta}_c}{\gamma_c\left(1 + \dfrac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right)E_c} = \frac{\left(\dfrac{\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c} + \beta_c\right)\hat{\beta}_c}{1 + \dfrac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}}\,, \tag{A14}$$

$$\frac{p_\perp\hat{\beta}_\perp}{E} = \frac{p_{c\perp}\hat{\beta}_\perp}{\gamma_c\left(1 + \dfrac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right)E_c} = \frac{\dfrac{\vec{p}_c}{E_c} - \dfrac{\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c\hat{\beta}_c}{E_c}}{\gamma_c\left(1 + \dfrac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right)}\,. \tag{A15}$$

Thus, one has

$$\frac{\vec{p}}{E} = \frac{\left(\dfrac{\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c} + \beta_c\right)\hat{\beta}_c}{1 + \dfrac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}} + \frac{\dfrac{\vec{p}_c}{E_c} - \dfrac{\hat{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c\hat{\beta}_c}{E_c}}{\gamma_c\left(1 + \dfrac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right)}\,. \tag{A16}$$

Note that Eq. (A16) is correctly reduced to Eq. (18) in the massless limit. Inserting Eq. (A16) and

$$d^3p = \gamma_c\left(1 + \frac{\vec{\beta}_c\cdot\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\right) d^3p_c \tag{A17}$$

into Eq. (A10), one finally obtains as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d^3p_o = \gamma_o\gamma_c\Bigg[1 - \vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c + \Bigg\{\vec{\beta}_c - \vec{\beta}_o\cdot\hat{\beta}_c\hat{\beta}_c \\ - \frac{1}{\gamma_c}\left(\vec{\beta}_o - \vec{\beta}_o\cdot\hat{\beta}_c\hat{\beta}_c\right)\Bigg\}\cdot\frac{\vec{p}_c}{E_c}\Bigg] d^3p_c\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A18}$$

One can rewrite Eq. (A3) with Eq. (A18) and the Lorentz invariance of the electron distribution function

$$f_o(E_o) = f_c(E_c) \tag{A19}$$

as follows:

$$N_e^o = \gamma_o\gamma_c\left(1 - \vec{\beta}_o\cdot\vec{\beta}_c\right) N_e^c\,, \tag{A20}$$

where the terms in the $\{\}$ brackets in Eq. (A18) were dropped by integral over the solid angles, because $f_c(E_c)$ is assumed to be isotropic. Finally, it is clear from Eq. (A20) that

$$N_e^o = \gamma_c^2(1-\beta_c^2)\, N_e^c = N_e^c \tag{A21}$$

in the limit $\vec{\beta}_o = \vec{\beta}_c$.

APPENDIX B: NORMALIZATIONS OF THE REDISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

B1 Normalization of $P_{0,c}(s_c)$

As described in Section 6.1 in detail, we use the ER frame to calculate the normalization of $P_{0,c}(s_c)$. It is expressed as follows:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c) = \frac{3}{64\pi^2}\int_0^{\infty} dp_c\, p_c^2\, p_{e,c}(E_c)\int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_0' \times \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k_c}\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k_c'}\, \frac{1}{\gamma^4(1-\beta\mu_0)^3}\,\bar{X}_{A,0}\,, \tag{B1}$$

where

$$\bar{X}_{A,0} = 1+\cos^2\Theta_0\,, \tag{B2}$$

$$\cos\Theta_0 = \mu_0\mu_0' + \sqrt{1-\mu_0^2}\sqrt{1-\mu_0'^2}\cos(\phi_{k_c}-\phi_{k_c'})\,. \tag{B3}$$

The integrals of ϕ_{k_c} and $\phi_{k_c'}$ can be done immediately, which give

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k_c}\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{k_c'}\left(1+\cos^2\Theta_0\right) = 1+\mu_0^2\mu_0'^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\mu_0^2\right)\left(1-\mu_0'^2\right)\,. \tag{B4}$$

Then, μ_0' and μ_0 integrals can be also done as follows:

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_0'\left\{1+\mu_0^2\mu_0'^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\mu_0^2\right)\left(1-\mu_0'^2\right)\right\} = \frac{8}{3}\,, \tag{B5}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma^4}\int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_0 \frac{1}{(1-\beta\mu_0)^3} = 1\,. \tag{B6}$$

Finally, one obtains

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{0,c}(s_c) = \int_0^{\infty} dp_c\, p_c^2\, p_{e,c}(E_c) = 1\,. \tag{B7}$$

B2 Normalizations of $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ in the Fokker-Planck expansion approximation

An alternative approach to calculate the normalizations for $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ is to use the operator representation formalism studied in Section 3.3. Inserting $D_z = 0$ into Eq. (50), one obtains the normalizations for $P_{\ell,c}(s_c)$ as follows:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds_c\, P_{\ell,c}(s_c) = 1+\mathcal{O}_\ell(0)\,, \tag{B8}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_\ell(0)$ are given up to $\mathcal{O}(\theta_e^5)$ by

$$\mathcal{O}_0(0) = 0\,, \tag{B9}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_1(0) = -1-\frac{2}{5}\theta_e-\frac{1}{5}\theta_e^2+\frac{407}{140}\theta_e^3-\frac{363}{28}\theta_e^4 +\frac{23363}{448}\theta_e^5\,, \tag{B10}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_2(0) = -\frac{9}{10}-\frac{3}{5}\theta_e+\frac{183}{70}\theta_e^2-\frac{429}{40}\theta_e^3+\frac{2559}{56}\theta_e^4 -\frac{26961}{128}\theta_e^5\,. \tag{B11}$$

Finally, we calculate the normalization of the redistribution function $P_{\rm NIK,1}(s)$. Inserting $D_z = 0$ into Eq. (100), one has

$$\mathcal{O}_{\rm NIK,1}(0) = \mathcal{O}_0(0)\,. \tag{B12}$$

Thus, the normalization of $P_{\rm NIK,1}(s)$ is given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_{\rm NIK,1}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_{0,c}(s) = 1\,. \tag{B13}$$

Equation (B13) is consistent with the normalization of the redistribution function $P_{1,{\rm K}}(s)$ defined in Nozawa, Kohyama and Itoh (2009), namely,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds\, P_{1,{\rm K}}(s) = 1\,. \tag{B14}$$